



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

8

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/469,253	12/22/99	NAKAMURA	N 0230-0145P

002292 HM12/1201
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
8110 GATEHOUSE ROAD
SUITE 500 EAST
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042

EXAMINER

WANG, A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1635

10

DATE MAILED: 12/01/00

REVIEWED BY
DOCKETING

mm 12-5-00


Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

RECEIVED

SEP 22 2005

TECH CENTER 1600/2900



Interview Summary

Application No.
09/469,253Applicant(s)
Nakamura et al.Examiner
Andrew WangGroup Art Unit
1635

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew Wang

(3) _____

(2) MaryAnne Armstrong

(4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 22, 2000Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: NoneIdentification of prior art discussed:
None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

A voice mail was left with Ms. Armstrong regarding the letter requesting a restarting of time to file formal drawings after notice of allowance. It was noted that no PTO 948 was sent to the applicants which is consistent with the file since the filed drawings were approved by the draftsman. Therefore, applicants are not required to submit new drawings and as such the period for response, which has already expired, will not be re-started.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

ANDREW WANG
PATENT EXAMINER
TC 1600

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.